# When does a graph have an Euler walk? COMS20010 (Algorithms II)

John Lapinskas, University of Bristol

**Last video:** If G has an Euler walk, then either:

- every vertex of G has even degree; or
- all but two vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_k$  have even degree, and any Euler walk must have  $v_0$  and  $v_k$  as endpoints.

**Last video:** If G has an Euler walk, then either:


- every vertex of G has even degree; or
- ullet all but two vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_k$  have even degree, and any Euler walk must have  $v_0$  and  $v_k$  as endpoints.

Does every graph satisfying one of these have an Euler walk?

**Last video:** If G has an Euler walk, then either:

- every vertex of G has even degree; or
- ullet all but two vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_k$  have even degree, and any Euler walk must have  $v_0$  and  $v_k$  as endpoints.

Does every graph satisfying one of these have an Euler walk? No! E.g.:




Every vertex has even degree, but we can't cross between the triangles. We need some more definitions to rule this case out...

## Connectedness

A path is a walk in which no vertices repeat.

A graph is connected if any two vertices are joined by a path. So...




This graph is **not** connected because there's no path from 3 to 4 (say).

### Connectedness


A path is a walk in which no vertices repeat.


A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path. So...





This graph is **not** connected because there's no path from 3 to 4 (say).

**Exercise:** Two vertices are joined by a path if and only if they are joined by a walk. (Paths are just more convenient to use.)













Let G = (V, E) be a graph.







Let G = (V, E) be a graph.







Let G = (V, E) be a graph.





Let G = (V, E) be a graph.






Let G = (V, E) be a graph.


A subgraph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  of G is a graph with  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H \subseteq E$ . H is an induced subgraph if  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H = \{e \in E : e \subseteq V_H\}$ .

For all vertex sets  $X \subseteq V$ , the graph **induced** by X is

$$G[X] = (X, \{e \in E : e \subseteq X\}).$$

So if G is the above graph, then the subgraph shown is  $G[\{1,2,6\}]$ .






Let G = (V, E) be a graph.


A subgraph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  of G is a graph with  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H \subseteq E$ . H is an induced subgraph if  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H = \{e \in E : e \subseteq V_H\}$ .

A **component** H of G is a maximal connected induced subgraph of G. So  $H = G[V_H]$  is connected, but  $G[V_H \cup \{v\}]$  is disconnected for all  $v \in V \setminus V_H$ .

Here, the two components of G are the left and right triangles.

A connected graph only has one component, namely the graph itself.






Let G = (V, E) be a graph.


A subgraph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  of G is a graph with  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H \subseteq E$ . H is an induced subgraph if  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H = \{e \in E : e \subseteq V_H\}$ .

A **component** H of G is a maximal connected induced subgraph of G. So  $H = G[V_H]$  is connected, but  $G[V_H \cup \{v\}]$  is disconnected for all  $v \in V \setminus V_H$ .

Here, the two components of G are the left and right triangles.

A connected graph only has one component, namely the graph itself.





Let G = (V, E) be a graph.

A subgraph  $H = (V_H, E_H)$  of G is a graph with  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H \subseteq E$ . H is an induced subgraph if  $V_H \subseteq V$  and  $E_H = \{e \in E : e \subseteq V_H\}$ .

A **component** H of G is a maximal connected induced subgraph of G. So  $H = G[V_H]$  is connected, but  $G[V_H \cup \{v\}]$  is disconnected for all  $v \in V \setminus V_H$ .

Here, the two components of G are the left and right triangles.

A connected graph only has one component, namely the graph itself.

We call a single-vertex component an **isolated vertex**.

A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Theorem:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph, and let  $u, v \in V$ . Then G has an Euler walk from u to v if and only if either:

- (i) u = v and every vertex of G has even degree; or
- (ii)  $u \neq v$  and every vertex of G has even degree except u and v.

We have already proved the "only if" direction.



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Theorem:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph, and let  $u, v \in V$ . Then G has an Euler walk from u to v if and only if either:

- (i) u = v and every vertex of G has even degree; or
- (ii)  $u \neq v$  and every vertex of G has even degree except u and v.

We have already proved the "only if" direction.

Proof of "if", case (i):

Suppose G is connected,  $v \in V$ , and every vertex of G has even degree.

Idea: Try working greedily!

A graph is connected if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Theorem:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph, and let  $u, v \in V$ . Then G has an Euler walk from u to v if and only if either:

- (i) u = v and every vertex of G has even degree; or
- (ii)  $u \neq v$  and every vertex of G has even degree except u and v.

We have already proved the "only if" direction.

# Proof of "if", case (i):

Suppose G is connected,  $v \in V$ , and every vertex of G has even degree.

Idea: Try working greedily!

Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  as follows:

- take  $w_0 = v$ ;
- take  $w_i$  to be an arbitrary neighbour of  $w_{i-1}$  such that  $\{w_{i-1}, w_i\}$  is not already a W-edge;
- stop when every edge out of  $w_i$  is already a W-edge.

A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.


**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.

**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .

A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is connected if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.


**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .



A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.

**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .




A graph is **connected** if any two vertices are joined by a path.

**Goal:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph with even vertex degrees. Then for all  $v \in V$ , G has an Euler walk from v to v.

**Idea:** Form a walk  $W = w_0 \dots w_k$  by taking  $w_0 = v$ , extending greedily without reusing edges, and stopping when  $N(w_i) \subseteq \{w_0, \dots, w_{i-1}\}$ .

This need not give an Euler walk...



But it still gives us something.


**Claim:**  $w_k = w_0 = v$ .

**Proof:** For a vertex x, how many W-edges are incident to x?

- Two for each time x appears in  $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1}\}$ ;
- Plus one if  $x = w_0$ ;
- Plus one if  $x = w_k$ .

We know  $w_k$  has  $d(w_k)$  W-edges, and  $d(w_k)$  is even, so  $w_0 = w_k$ .


**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.



**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|. **Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.



**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G − W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G − W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.




**Idea:** Strong induction on |E|.

**Base case:** |E| = 0, immediate.

- Each vertex has even degree in both W and G, and hence also in G – W.
- Each component  $C_i$  is connected by definition.


**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.



**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.



**Idea:** "Walk along W until we hit  $C_1$ , then follow  $W_1$ , then go back to W, and so on."


G connected  $\Rightarrow$  there is a path  $P_i$  from v to each  $C_i$ . Let  $v_i$  be the first vertex in  $C_i$  on  $P_i$ .

Then some edge incident to  $v_i$  must have been removed in G - W, or the vertex before  $v_i$  on  $P_i$  would have been part of  $C_i$ .

So  $v_i \in W$ .

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.




We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

**Lemma:** G has a non-trivial walk W from v to v with no reused edges.

Induction hypothesis  $\Rightarrow$  each non-trivial component  $C_i$  of G-W has an Euler walk  $W_i$  from any vertex to itself.



We have found vertices  $v_i$  which lie in both  $C_i$  and W.

Wlog, if G has r non-trivial components, W reaches first  $v_1$ , then  $v_2$ , and so on up to  $v_r$ . (Otherwise we can just reorder  $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ .)

So our idea works! We follow W until reaching  $v_1$ , then follow all of  $W_1$  (returning to  $v_1$ ), then follow W until reaching  $v_2$ , and so on.

## Breather slide: Leonhard Euler (1707–1783)



- One of the greatest mathematicians of all time.
- Discovered foundational ideas in just about every single field of modern mathematics.
- Not only proved  $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$ , but introduced the notation for e, i and  $\pi$ .
- Over 800 papers and books written, constituting about one third of all research in maths and physics and engineering mechanics in 1725–1800.

**Theorem:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph, and let  $u, v \in V$ . Then G has an Euler walk from u to v if and only if either:

- (i) u = v and every vertex of G has even degree; or
- (ii)  $u \neq v$  and every vertex of G has even degree except u and v.

"Only if": √ "If" case (i): √

Suppose  $u \neq v$ , d(u) and d(v) are odd, and every other degree is even. We could use a very similar argument to part (i), but there's an easier way: we **reduce** the problem to part (i).

**Theorem:** Let G = (V, E) be a **connected** graph, and let  $u, v \in V$ . Then G has an Euler walk from u to v if and only if either:

- (i) u = v and every vertex of G has even degree; or
- (ii)  $u \neq v$  and every vertex of G has even degree except u and v.

"Only if": √ "If" case (i): √

Suppose  $u \neq v$ , d(u) and d(v) are odd, and every other degree is even. We could use a very similar argument to part (i), but there's an easier way: we **reduce** the problem to part (i).

Form a new graph, G', by adding a new vertex w and edges from u to w and w to v. Then every vertex of G' has even degree, so G' contains an Euler walk W starting and ending at u by part (i).

Then we remove the subpath uwv from W, which turns it into an Euler walk from u to v in G.

Again, this proof gives us an algorithm. So we know exactly which graphs have Euler walks, and we can find them quickly when they exist!