Trees COMS20010 (Algorithms II)

John Lapinskas, University of Bristol

In COMS10007, you used (rooted) trees to model heaps, recursion, and the decisions of comparison-based sorting algorithms.

In this course, we will think of trees as examples of graphs.

Trees

In COMS10007, you used (rooted) trees to model heaps, recursion, and the decisions of comparison-based sorting algorithms.

In this course, we will think of trees as examples of graphs.

We define a **forest** to be a graph which contains no cycles, and a **tree** to be a **connected** graph with no cycles.

(So the components of a forest are trees, and all trees are forests!)



Trees

In COMS10007, you used (rooted) trees to model heaps, recursion, and the decisions of comparison-based sorting algorithms.

In this course, we will think of trees as examples of graphs.

We define a **forest** to be a graph which contains no cycles, and a **tree** to be a **connected** graph with no cycles.

(So the components of a forest are trees, and all trees are forests!)





















Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: T is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from u to v.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: T is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from u to v.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: *T* is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from *u* to *v*. Suppose there is another path $P_2 = y_0 \dots y_k$ from *u* to *v*.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: *T* is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from *u* to *v*. Suppose there is another path $P_2 = y_0 \dots y_k$ from *u* to *v*.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: *T* is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from *u* to *v*. Suppose there is another path $P_2 = y_0 \dots y_k$ from *u* to *v*.

Then P_1 and P_2 must diverge from each other and come back together.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: *T* is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from *u* to *v*. Suppose there is another path $P_2 = y_0 \dots y_k$ from *u* to *v*.

Then P_1 and P_2 must diverge from each other and come back together. Let $I = \min\{i : x_i \neq y_i\} - 1$ be the point of divergence.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: *T* is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from *u* to *v*. Suppose there is another path $P_2 = y_0 \dots y_k$ from *u* to *v*.

Then P_1 and P_2 must diverge from each other and come back together. Let $I = \min\{i : x_i \neq y_i\} - 1$ be the point of divergence. Let $J = \min\{i > I : x_i \in \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}\}$ be the point of remerging.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: *T* is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from *u* to *v*. Suppose there is another path $P_2 = y_0 \dots y_k$ from *u* to *v*.

Then P_1 and P_2 must diverge from each other and come back together. Let $I = \min\{i : x_i \neq y_i\} - 1$ be the point of divergence. Let $J = \min\{i > I : x_i \in \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}\}$ be the point of remerging. Let K be the corresponding point on P_2 , so $y_K = x_J$.

Notice how any edge we add to the tree from the last slide forms a cycle.

This is not a coincidence!



Lemma: If T = (V, E) is a tree, then any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ is joined by a **unique** path uTv in T.

Proof: *T* is connected, so there is a path $P_1 = x_0 \dots x_k$ from *u* to *v*. Suppose there is another path $P_2 = y_0 \dots y_k$ from *u* to *v*.

Then P_1 and P_2 must diverge from each other and come back together. Let $I = \min\{i : x_i \neq y_i\} - 1$ be the point of divergence. Let $J = \min\{i > I : x_i \in \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}\}$ be the point of remerging. Let K be the corresponding point on P_2 , so $y_K = x_J$.

Then $x_I x_{I+1} \dots x_J y_{K-1} y_{K-2} \dots y_I$ is a cycle, so T is not a tree.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.


Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.

Let $r \in V$ be arbitrary — this will be the **root**. Every vertex $v \neq r$ has a unique path P_v from r to v by the lemma. Direct its edges from r to v.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.

Let $r \in V$ be arbitrary — this will be the **root**. Every vertex $v \neq r$ has a unique path P_v from r to v by the lemma. Direct its edges from r to v.

Why are the directions consistent?



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.

Let $r \in V$ be arbitrary — this will be the **root**. Every vertex $v \neq r$ has a unique path P_v from r to v by the lemma. Direct its edges from r to v.

Why are the directions consistent?



Suppose some path P_v directs $a \rightarrow b$. And suppose *b* is also on another path P_w .

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

Proof: We start by showing how to turn a tree T = (V, E) into a **rooted** tree, like those you worked with last year.

Let $r \in V$ be arbitrary — this will be the **root**. Every vertex $v \neq r$ has a unique path P_v from r to v by the lemma. Direct its edges from r to v.

Why are the directions consistent?



Suppose some path P_v directs $a \rightarrow b$. And suppose *b* is also on another path P_w .

Then both P_v and P_w must start with P_b , since P_b is the **unique** path from r to b. So P_w also directs $a \rightarrow b$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) has n - 1 edges.

Proof idea: Take an arbitrary root $r \in V$. For all vertices v, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Direct T's edges along these paths.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) has n - 1 edges.

Proof idea: Take an arbitrary root $r \in V$. For all vertices v, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Direct T's edges along these paths.



Because these paths are unique, every vertex other than r has in-degree 1, and r has in-degree 0.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) has n - 1 edges.

Proof idea: Take an arbitrary root $r \in V$. For all vertices v, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Direct T's edges along these paths.



Because these paths are unique, every vertex other than r has in-degree 1, and rhas in-degree 0.

So by the directed handshake lemma: $|E| = \sum_{v \in V} d^{-}(v) = n - 1.$

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) has n - 1 edges.

Proof idea: Take an arbitrary root $r \in V$. For all vertices v, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Direct T's edges along these paths.



Because these paths are unique, every vertex other than r has in-degree 1, and r has in-degree 0.

So by the directed handshake lemma: $|E| = \sum_{v \in V} d^{-}(v) = n - 1.$

Bonus: We also just defined rooted trees in terms of graphs.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We **root** a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We **root** a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.

r

In a **rooted tree** with root *r*:

• *u* is an **ancestor** of *v* (or *v* is a **descendant** of *u*) if *u* is on *P_v*.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We **root** a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



In a **rooted tree** with root *r*:

• u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



- *u* is an **ancestor** of *v* (or *v* is a **descendant** of *u*) if *u* is on *P_v*.
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We **root** a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We **root** a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



- u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



- *u* is an **ancestor** of *v* (or *v* is a **descendant** of *u*) if *u* is on *P_v*.
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



- u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



- *u* is an **ancestor** of *v* (or *v* is a **descendant** of *u*) if *u* is on *P_v*.
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



- u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



- u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.
- The depth of *T* is max{*i*: *L_i* ≠ ∅}, e.g. this tree has depth 3.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



In a **rooted tree** with root *r*:

- u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.
- The depth of *T* is max{*i*: *L_i* ≠ ∅}, e.g. this tree has depth 3.

In **any** tree: a **leaf** is a degree-1 vertex. In a **rooted** tree: The root cannot be a leaf, even if it has degree 1.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We root a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



In a **rooted tree** with root *r*:

- u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.
- The depth of *T* is max{*i*: *L_i* ≠ ∅}, e.g. this tree has depth 3.

In **any** tree: a **leaf** is a degree-1 vertex. In a **rooted** tree: The root cannot be a leaf, even if it has degree 1.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

We **root** a tree T = (V, E) at $r \in V$ as follows. For all vertices $v \neq r$, let P_v be the unique path from r to v. Then direct each P_v from r to v.



In a **rooted tree** with root *r*:

- u is an **ancestor** of v (or v is a **descendant** of u) if u is on P_v .
- u is the **parent** of v (or v is a **child** of u) if $u \in N^{-}(v)$.
- The first level L_0 of T is $\{r\}$, and $L_{i+1} = N^+(L_i)$.
- The depth of *T* is max{*i*: *L_i* ≠ ∅}, e.g. this tree has depth 3.

In any tree: a leaf is a degree-1 vertex. In a rooted tree: The root cannot be a leaf, even if it has degree 1. Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

A leaf is a degree-1 vertex.

Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

A leaf is a degree-1 vertex.

Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Proof: Let x be the number of leaves in T.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

A leaf is a degree-1 vertex.

Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Proof: Let x be the number of leaves in T.

By the handshaking lemma, $|E| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d(v)$. Also, |E| = n - 1.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

A leaf is a degree-1 vertex.

Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Proof: Let x be the number of leaves in T.

By the handshaking lemma, $|E| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d(v)$. Also, |E| = n - 1.

Since T is connected and $n \ge 2$, every vertex has degree at least 1.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

A leaf is a degree-1 vertex.

Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Proof: Let x be the number of leaves in T. By the handshaking lemma, $|E| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d(v)$. Also, |E| = n - 1. Since T is connected and $n \ge 2$, every vertex has degree at least 1. So all non-leaves have degree at least 2, and $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \ge 2(n - x) + x$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

A leaf is a degree-1 vertex.

Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Proof: Let x be the number of leaves in T. By the handshaking lemma, $|E| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d(v)$. Also, |E| = n - 1. Since T is connected and $n \ge 2$, every vertex has degree at least 1. So all non-leaves have degree at least 2, and $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \ge 2(n - x) + x$. Plugging this in gives $|E| = n - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d(v) \ge n - \frac{x}{2}$.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

A leaf is a degree-1 vertex.

Lemma 3: Any *n*-vertex tree T = (V, E) with $n \ge 2$ has at least 2 leaves.

Proof: Let x be the number of leaves in T. By the handshaking lemma, $|E| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d(v)$. Also, |E| = n - 1. Since T is connected and $n \ge 2$, every vertex has degree at least 1. So all non-leaves have degree at least 2, and $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \ge 2(n - x) + x$. Plugging this in gives $|E| = n - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} d(v) \ge n - \frac{x}{2}$. Solving for x gives $x \ge 2$, so we're done!

The Fundamental Lemma of Trees

A tree is a connected graph with no cycles.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.	
Lemma 2: Any <i>n</i> -vertex tree has $n-1$ edges.	

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

When you're actually working with trees, it's good to have one single result that tells you that all the "obvious" things are true. This is that result.

Lemma: The following are equivalent for an *n*-vertex graph T = (V, E):

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

When you're actually working with trees, it's good to have one single result that tells you that all the "obvious" things are true. This is that result.

Lemma: The following are equivalent for an *n*-vertex graph T = (V, E):

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

We've already proved $(A) \Rightarrow (D)$ (Lemma 1)...

Lemma 1: Any pair of vertices in a tree is joined by a unique path.

Lemma 2: Any *n*-vertex tree has n - 1 edges.

When you're actually working with trees, it's good to have one single result that tells you that all the "obvious" things are true. This is that result.

Lemma: The following are equivalent for an *n*-vertex graph T = (V, E):

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;

(D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

We've already proved $(A) \Rightarrow (D)$ (Lemma 1)... as well as $(A) \Rightarrow (B)$ and $(A) \Rightarrow (C)$ (Lemma 2). **Lemma:** The following are equivalent for an *n*-vertex graph T = (V, E):

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D):$

 $(D) \Rightarrow (A):$

Lemma: The following are equivalent for an *n*-vertex graph T = (V, E):

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D):$

(D) \Rightarrow (A): T has a path between any pair of vertices, so it's connected.

Lemma: The following are equivalent for an *n*-vertex graph T = (V, E):

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D):$

(D) \Rightarrow (A): *T* has a path between any pair of vertices, so it's connected. And on any cycle $v_0 \dots v_k$, there are two different paths from v_0 to v_k :


- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D):$

(D) \Rightarrow (A): *T* has a path between any pair of vertices, so it's connected. And on any cycle $v_0 \dots v_k$, there are two different paths from v_0 to v_k :



• the path $v_0 \ldots v_k$; and

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D):$

(D) \Rightarrow (A): *T* has a path between any pair of vertices, so it's connected. And on any cycle $v_0 \dots v_k$, there are two different paths from v_0 to v_k :



- the path $v_0 \ldots v_k$; and
- the edge $v_0 v_k$.

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D):$

(D) \Rightarrow (A): *T* has a path between any pair of vertices, so it's connected. And on any cycle $v_0 \dots v_k$, there are two different paths from v_0 to v_k :



- the path $v_0 \ldots v_k$; and
- the edge $v_0 v_k$.

So T has no cycles.

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \checkmark (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \checkmark (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

(C) \Rightarrow (A): Suppose T has no cycles and components C_1, \ldots, C_r .

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \quad \checkmark \quad (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

(C) \Rightarrow (A): Suppose T has no cycles and components C_1, \ldots, C_r .

Each of these components has no cycles, and is connected, so it's a tree. So by $(A) \Rightarrow (B)$ (or Lemma 2), each C_i has $|V(C_i)| - 1$ edges.

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \checkmark (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

(C) \Rightarrow (A): Suppose T has no cycles and components C_1, \ldots, C_r .

Each of these components has no cycles, and is connected, so it's a tree. So by $(A) \Rightarrow (B)$ (or Lemma 2), each C_i has $|V(C_i)| - 1$ edges.

Every edge of T is in some C_i , so $|E| = \sum_i (|V(C_i)| - 1) = n - r$. But we know |E| = n - 1, so we must have r = 1.

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \checkmark (C) \text{ and } (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \checkmark (C) \text{ and } (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

(B) \Rightarrow (A): We will need to use:

Claim: If T = (V, E) is connected, and $e \in E$ is on a cycle, then T - e is connected.

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \checkmark (C) \text{ and } (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

(B) \Rightarrow (A): We will need to use:

Claim: If T = (V, E) is connected, and $e \in E$ is on a cycle, then T - e is connected.

Proof from Claim: Suppose T is not a tree, so it has a cycle.

We form a new graph T' by repeatedly removing edges from cycles in T (in arbitrary order) until no more cycles remain.

Then T' has no cycles, and the Claim implies it's connected, so it's a tree. So by (A) \Rightarrow (B) (or Lemma 2), T' has n - 1 edges.

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

 $(A) \Rightarrow (B), (C) \text{ and } (D): \checkmark (C) \text{ and } (D) \Rightarrow (A):$

(B) \Rightarrow (A): We will need to use:

Claim: If T = (V, E) is connected, and $e \in E$ is on a cycle, then T - e is connected.

Proof from Claim: Suppose T is not a tree, so it has a cycle.

We form a new graph T' by repeatedly removing edges from cycles in T (in arbitrary order) until no more cycles remain.

Then T' has no cycles, and the Claim implies it's connected, so it's a tree. So by $(A) \Rightarrow (B)$ (or Lemma 2), T' has n - 1 edges.

So T must have had more than n-1 edges — a contradiction.

For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

If *e* is not in *P*: Then *P* is the path we want.

For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

If e is not in P: Then P is the path we want.

If *e* is in *P*: Write $e = \{x_I, x_{I+1}\}$. Let $C = y_0 \dots y_\ell$ be a cycle in *T* containing *e* — without loss of generality we can take $y_0 = x_I$ and $y_\ell = x_{I+1}$.



For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

If e is not in P: Then P is the path we want.

If *e* is in *P*: Write $e = \{x_I, x_{I+1}\}$. Let $C = y_0 \dots y_\ell$ be a cycle in *T* containing *e* — without loss of generality we can take $y_0 = x_I$ and $y_\ell = x_{I+1}$.



Then $x_0 \ldots x_l y_1 \ldots y_\ell x_{l+2} \ldots x_k$ is a walk from *a* to *b* in T - e. Any walk from *a* to *b* contains a path from *a* to *b* (see quiz 2), so we're done.

For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

If e is not in P: Then P is the path we want.

If *e* is in *P*: Write $e = \{x_I, x_{I+1}\}$. Let $C = y_0 \dots y_\ell$ be a cycle in *T* containing *e* — without loss of generality we can take $y_0 = x_I$ and $y_\ell = x_{I+1}$.



Then $x_0 \ldots x_l y_1 \ldots y_\ell x_{l+2} \ldots x_k$ is a walk from *a* to *b* in T - e. Any walk from *a* to *b* contains a path from *a* to *b* (see quiz 2), so we're done. \checkmark

For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

If e is not in P: Then P is the path we want.

If *e* is in *P*: Write $e = \{x_I, x_{I+1}\}$. Let $C = y_0 \dots y_\ell$ be a cycle in *T* containing *e* — without loss of generality we can take $y_0 = x_I$ and $y_\ell = x_{I+1}$.



Then $x_0 \ldots x_l y_1 \ldots y_\ell x_{l+2} \ldots x_k$ is a walk from *a* to *b* in T - e. Any walk from *a* to *b* contains a path from *a* to *b* (see quiz 2), so we're done. \checkmark

For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

If e is not in P: Then P is the path we want.

If *e* is in *P*: Write $e = \{x_I, x_{I+1}\}$. Let $C = y_0 \dots y_\ell$ be a cycle in *T* containing *e* — without loss of generality we can take $y_0 = x_I$ and $y_\ell = x_{I+1}$.



Then $x_0 \dots x_l y_1 \dots y_\ell x_{l+2} \dots x_k$ is a walk from *a* to *b* in T - e. Any walk from *a* to *b* contains a path from *a* to *b* (see quiz 2), so we're done. \checkmark

For all $a, b \in V$, we must find a path from a to b in T - e.

Let $P = x_0 \dots x_k$ be a path from *a* to *b* in *T*.

If e is not in P: Then P is the path we want.

If *e* is in *P*: Write $e = \{x_I, x_{I+1}\}$. Let $C = y_0 \dots y_\ell$ be a cycle in *T* containing *e* — without loss of generality we can take $y_0 = x_I$ and $y_\ell = x_{I+1}$.



Then $x_0 \dots x_l y_1 \dots y_\ell x_{l+2} \dots x_k$ is a walk from *a* to *b* in T - e. Any walk from *a* to *b* contains a **path** from *a* to *b* (see quiz 2), so we're done. \checkmark

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

Our reward for proving this lemma is:

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

Our reward for proving this lemma is: we never have to think about basic tree properties in this level of detail ever again. (Except on the exam!)

- (A) T is connected and has no cycles, i.e. is a tree;
- (B) T has n-1 edges and is connected;
- (C) T has n-1 edges and has no cycles;
- (D) T has a unique path between any pair of vertices.

Our reward for proving this lemma is: we never have to think about basic tree properties in this level of detail ever again. (Except on the exam!)



And there was much rejoicing.