# 2-3-4 trees II: Deletion and alternative forms COMS20010 (Algorithms II)

John Lapinskas, University of Bristol

# Summary of a 2-3-4 tree with distinct values (so far)



**Finding a value v:** Let x be the root. If  $v \in x$ , return a pointer to x. Otherwise, if x is a leaf, return Not Found. Otherwise, let k be such that x is a k-node, let  $x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_{k-1}$  be the values in x, let  $x_0 = -\infty$ , and let  $x_k = \infty$ ; then  $x_{i-1} < v < x_i$  for some i. Let c be the i'th child of x. Then repeat the process from the start, taking x = c.

**Inserting a value** v: First attempt to find v as above, **splitting** any 4-nodes encountered (including the root). After reaching a leaf L, and splitting it if it is a 4-node, add v to L.

First suppose the value we're trying to delete is a leaf.

If it's in a 3-node or a 4-node... we just remove it:



If it's in a 2-node v, this would break perfect balance. So like with insertion, we need to first turn v into a 3-node or a 4-node.

If v's left (or right) sibling is **not** a 2-node, then we can **transfer** its rightmost (or leftmost) value to v:



First suppose the value we're trying to delete is a leaf.

If it's in a 3-node or a 4-node... we just remove it:



If it's in a 2-node v, this would break perfect balance. So like with insertion, we need to first turn v into a 3-node or a 4-node.

If v's left (or right) sibling is **not** a 2-node, then we can **transfer** its rightmost (or leftmost) value to v:



First suppose the value we're trying to delete is a leaf.

If it's in a 3-node or a 4-node... we just remove it:



If it's in a 2-node v, this would break perfect balance. So like with insertion, we need to first turn v into a 3-node or a 4-node.

If v's left (or right) sibling is a 2-node, and its parent is **not** a 2-node, then we can **fuse** the two siblings together in the opposite of a split:



First suppose the value we're trying to delete is a leaf.

If it's in a 3-node or a 4-node... we just remove it:



If it's in a 2-node v, this would break perfect balance. So like with insertion, we need to first turn v into a 3-node or a 4-node.

If v's left (or right) sibling is a 2-node, and its parent is **not** a 2-node, then we can **fuse** the two siblings together in the opposite of a split:



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.

(These operations work on non-leaves as well!)

Delete 9; 4 If the root is a 2-node, and its children are 2-nodes, fuse it with its children. 1 3 5 7 8

Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.



Say we are trying to delete a value from a leaf v. If v is a 3-node or 4-node, we just delete it.

If v is a 2-node with a 3-node or 4-node sibling w, we transfer a value from w to v, reducing to the 3-node case.

If v is a 2-node with a 2-node sibling w, and a 3-node or 4-node parent, we fuse v, w and a value from v's parent, reducing to the 4-node case.

Like with insertion, we will make sure we never have a 2-node with a 2-node parent by fusing and transferring 2-nodes as we descend.

(These operations work on non-leaves as well!)

Delete 8;

If the root is a 2-node, and its children are 2-nodes, fuse it with its children.



# Deleting from a non-leaf

When deleting from a non-leaf, preserving perfect balance is harder. So let's **reduce** the problem to deleting from a leaf!



**Exercise:** If v is not stored in a leaf, then the **predecessor** w of v — the value just before v in sorted order — will always be in a leaf.

So we can overwrite v with w, and then delete w from its leaf — leaving the structure of the tree untouched!

# Deleting from a non-leaf

When deleting from a non-leaf, preserving perfect balance is harder. So let's **reduce** the problem to deleting from a leaf!



**Exercise:** If v is not stored in a leaf, then the **predecessor** w of v — the value just before v in sorted order — will always be in a leaf.

So we can overwrite v with w, and then delete w from its leaf — leaving the structure of the tree untouched!

# Deleting from a non-leaf

When deleting from a non-leaf, preserving perfect balance is harder. So let's **reduce** the problem to deleting from a leaf!



**Exercise:** If v is not stored in a leaf, then the **predecessor** w of v — the value just before v in sorted order — will always be in a leaf.

So we can overwrite v with w, and then delete w from its leaf — leaving the structure of the tree untouched!

In general, this will be its own delete operation, and might require fusing/transferring 2-nodes as normal.

# Summary of 2-3-4 tree operations

- Find(v):
  - Descend the tree recursively, using the rule that all children between x and y have values between x and y. If you reach a leaf not containing v, return Not found.
- Insert(v):
  - Apply Find(v) until reaching the leaf  $\ell$  where v would be if it was already in the tree.
  - If  $\ell$  is a 2-node or a 3-node, add v to  $\ell$ .
  - Otherwise, split  $\ell$  into 2-nodes and add v to the appropriate new leaf.
  - To avoid  $\ell$  being a 4-node with a 4-node parent, split 4-nodes on the way down (including the root).
- Delete(v):
  - Apply Find(v) to find the vertex  $\ell$  containing v.
  - If  $\ell$  is not a leaf, find v's predecessor w, overwrite v with w, and Delete(w).
  - Otherwise, if  $\ell$  is a 3-node or a 4-node, delete v from  $\ell$ .
  - Otherwise, if  $\ell$ 's left or right sibling is a 3-node or 4-node, transfer from it to make  $\ell$  a 3-node, then delete v.
  - Otherwise, fuse  $\ell$  with its 2-node sibling to make  $\ell$  a 4-node, then delete v.
  - To avoid  $\ell$  being a 2-node with a 2-node parent, fuse or transfer 2-nodes on the way down (including the root).

All operations take O(d) time and maintain perfect balance. Perfect balance implies that in an *n*-element tree,  $d \in O(\log n)$  (exercise!), so we're done.

#### Red-black trees

Red-black trees are often used over 2-3-4 trees in practice, because they are slightly faster to implement... But they are secretly the same thing!

- Red-black trees are binary search trees where every non-leaf has exactly 2 children, and vertices are coloured red or black.
  Exception: A black node with a single red leaf child is OK.
- Every root-leaf path has the same number of black vertices.
- No red vertex has a red child.



We can turn a 2-3-4 tree into a red-black tree (or vice versa) by: replacing each 2-node by a black node;

#### Red-black trees

Red-black trees are often used over 2-3-4 trees in practice, because they are slightly faster to implement... But they are secretly the same thing!

- Red-black trees are binary search trees where every non-leaf has exactly 2 children, and vertices are coloured red or black.
  Exception: A black node with a single red leaf child is OK.
- Every root-leaf path has the same number of black vertices.
- No red vertex has a red child.



We can turn a 2-3-4 tree into a red-black tree (or vice versa) by: replacing each 2-node by a black node; each 4-node by a black node with two red children;

#### Red-black trees

Red-black trees are often used over 2-3-4 trees in practice, because they are slightly faster to implement... But they are secretly the same thing!

- Red-black trees are binary search trees where every non-leaf has exactly 2 children, and vertices are coloured red or black.
  Exception: A black node with a single red leaf child is OK.
- Every root-leaf path has the same number of black vertices.
- No red vertex has a red child.



We can turn a 2-3-4 tree into a red-black tree (or vice versa) by: replacing each 2-node by a black node; each 4-node by a black node with two red children; and each 3-node by a black node with one red child.